

# The Need for a "Knowledge Web" for Scholarship

[Carolina Rossini](#)

In many ways, our society is not taking full advantage of the generative possibilities the web brings to us. As [James Boyle](#) has noted, the networks bring enormous transformative power to us as consumers of shoes, music, hotel rooms, gambling but the systems by which we perform science and education remain remarkably untransformed, with few exceptions.

Resistance to network effects is not an innate feature of science or education. Indeed, both fields appear to be optimal places for peer production and network effects both are in their own way already peer produced, significantly funded by public monies, and considered to be in the public interest. The beneficial potential for open networks and scholarship has been widely noted for years.

However, for all this potential to be realized, the Web has to be seen as a content management system a knowledge web and not simply a vast forest of web pages and hyperlinks. The existing web builds on the idea of a fragile, but massively scalable, system. Thus, although we make links that break the familiar 404 not found the massive total number of links means that the web itself is solid. The Net ignores the import

Because the scholarly producers and users do not have tools or methodologies that allow them to share their knowledge, to make billions of fragile links, to combine their work effectively or, many times, to manage copyright and contract barriers, the power of a sharing system is not fully realized. Nor is innovation.

A knowledge web needs to be capable of much more than linking and searching. It asks for more power in the individual link, and requires different balances between fragility and durability, allowing, for example, content genealogy who had an idea first, and where? It also asks for new writing methodologies. How do we replicate the writing methodology we observe in Wikipedia in order to connect a huge diversity of scientific information and, by doing that, generate knowledge? E-writing is very different than traditional scholarly writing, and scholars need to utilize these new writing methodologies as well as emerging infrastructures such as the semantic web or features such as annotations.

We also need to contextualize the incentives of scholars in the knowledge web. Scholars already share via publication in the paper world. And many users simply want to share the desire to share and to build the commons independent of any single motivation because they wish to fulfill the potential of the network. Thus, new impact factors also need to be developed if we want to see the full potential of the knowledge web realized\*. Some help on this discussion is coming from the [Open Access movement](#), which recommends, for example, requiring links to scholars' online publications as a new field in the road for tenure.

It is well known that the acquisition and production of scholarly knowledge is a cumulative process that depends on human input, physical input and the information input. The informational input is founded on ~~scholars' continuing ability to access, collect and share data, primary scientific and technical literature and~~

know-how. However, if these techniques of a knowledge web are not put in place, we face the risk of information overflow and low capacity of knowledge production.

As we strive towards the digital transformation of knowledge and the creation of a generative web for science and education, we will be faced with some of the [key questions](#) that underlie the Publius Project: How will the knowledge web influence our conceptions of control over information, including who can produce, access, and distribute it? How can we create new spaces for scholarly work and knowledge production on the web, while remaining cognizant of the need for new techniques, methodologies?and in some cases, rules?to govern that process?

One of the main elements of transfer of scholarly knowledge ? peer reviewed papers - represents the biggest failure to make the leap to the web. While journals have migrated to the Web, they are just digitized version of paper. They are not ?becoming digital?. The PDF versions of ?papers? are amorphous objects that promote cross-platform human readability but restrict machine readability such as text mining, semantic indexing, hyper-linking and direct integration with databases.

As noted by [John Wilbanks](#): ?? the human-readable paper is the least valuable format of knowledge from a cyberinfrastructure perspective.? To be integrated in the generative knowledge web ? we have to understand a important conceptual transformation that knowledge itself needs to be treated as something similar to software, something upon which computing happens and depends - and the implications of that transformation.?

\*An effort of a group of scholars and Foundation that support Open Access - such as the Open Society Institute ? is working to develop these possible new metrics. Some initial results can seen here: [New metrics for research outputs: overview of the main issues](#).

***[Carolina Rossini](#) is a Fellow with the [Cooperation Research Group](#) at the [Berkman Center](#). She also coordinates a project on policy for Open Educational Resources in Brazil with the Open Society institute. Carolina holds positions at the [Diplo Foundation](#) as a fellow for the Intellectual Property and Internet Governance Program and at [IQSensato](#) as a Research Associate for the Access to Knowledge and Innovation Program.***

[Carolina Rossini Open Access The Cultural Commons](#)

---

Source URL: [http://publius.cc/need\\_knowledge\\_web\\_scholarship/020509](http://publius.cc/need_knowledge_web_scholarship/020509)